

(Title) _____

(File No.) _____

1-8/15/68 10 Copies of a reproduction of an
Article appearing in "Baron's" magazine
entitled "Camp 1000: Battle Ground of Communism"
is a warning to all American institutions.

Disposition:

100-4704-1A

SEARCHED.....	INDEXED.....
SERIALIZED.....	FILED.....
JAN 15 1968	
FBI - MEMPHIS	

File No. 100-4704-5A1Date Received 8/14/68From Director
(NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR)

(CITY AND STATE)

By Me
(NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT)To Be Returned ☐ Yes
☒ NoReceipt given ☐ Yes
☐ No

Description: 10 copies of a reproduction of an article appearing in "Barrow's" magazine entitled "Campus or Battleground? Columbia is a turning to all American Universities."

Letter to SAC: Charlotta
Enclosures (10) to: Memphis

RE: COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT

Bufile: 100-449698

DIRECTOR, FBI (100-442367)
(100-447437)

2/17/63

SAC, MEMPHIS (100-4046) (P)

SOUTHERN STUDENT ORGANIZING COMMITTEE (SSOC)
IS - SSOC

b6
b7C

(OO: Memphis)

Re Bureau letter to Memphis 2/6/63 captioned [redacted]
[redacted] aka; SS - SSOC (Bufile 100-447437, Mefile 100-4393).

Regarding instructions contained in referenced Bulet relative to reviewing [redacted] file against information furnished by referenced letter, there is insufficient information contained in the 1967 Form 1040A to determine whether or not an irregularity exists, inasmuch as the 2 figures entered in item 8 are not identified as to source of income. Therefore, it is not possible to determine at Memphis where [redacted] claimed this income came from. It is possible the 2 figures reflect salary from Southwestern University at Memphis, Tennessee, his previous employer, and his salary as SSOC staff member.

b6
b7C

However, Memphis is of the opinion that some sort of income tax violation could exist relative not only to [redacted] but other various SSOC staff members and Memphis feels perhaps this area may be worthy of exploration from a counter-intelligence view. It is also noted that SSOC, by its claim of being a "non-profit organization", claims certain privileges including mailing quantities of literature at a reduced rate and, also, persons who make contributions to SSOC can deduct this amount from their income tax. The Memphis Division would question the legitimacy of a new left-type organization, such as SSOC, making the claim of being a non-profit organization. Memphis has been considering making appropriate recommendations to the Bureau under the Cointelpro relative to contacting local postal authorities and the local Internal Revenue Service Office concerning the non-profit status of SSOC and possible income tax violations of the various SSOC staff members; however, such recommendations under the Cointelpro are being held in abeyance until Memphis has the

4 - Bureau (RM) (2 - 100-442367)
(2 - 100-447437- [redacted])

① - Memphis (2 - 100-4046)
② - 100-4704 COINTELPRO)

LJO:cjs
(3)

b6
b7C

SEARCHED

SERIALIZED

INDEXED

FILED

100-4704-12

ME 100-4046

benefit of the experience of the Bureau in this regard, noting it is likely that other new left or militant, self-styled civil rights groups have made similar claims.

As Memphis has previously reported in reports concerning SSSC activities, SSSC staff members have earned various amounts of money, depending on the amount of money in the SSSC treasury. The salaries of the staff members average in the vicinity of \$50 to \$60 per month for the most part of each tax year; however, these amounts have been reduced on occasions to \$40 or less per month. It is also noted that SSSC houses and apparently feeds the various members of the SSSC staff who actually resided in SSSC headquarters in Nashville, Tennessee. It would be interesting to determine whether or not these individuals have made the necessary indications of additional earnings on their tax forms.

b6
b7C
b7D

On February 10, 1969, Agent [redacted], Tennessee Bureau of Criminal Identification (TBI), advised that he had just learned from an informant of his, [redacted] and who is furnishing him coverage on a portion of SSSC activities, that he, informant, has recently been contacted by SSSC officials who are quite concerned about their accounting procedures. According to this informant, the SSSC leadership feels SSSC may be somewhat vulnerable from an income tax standpoint since SSSC staff members have been receiving a salary and receiving housing and food, in addition to salaries, which items apparently have not been reported on their respective income tax forms. According to this informant, in certain cases SSSC has been giving individuals additional financial assistance such as paying automobile notes, which is not being reported as income by the individual involved.

It could appear, in view of the above, that if SSSC is obtaining the benefits of reduced-rate mailing privileges or income tax breaks from the U.S. Government, to which the organization or individuals connection with SSSC are not legally entitled, the Federal Government may be, in effect, partially subsidizing their activities.

Before the Memphis Division takes any further action or makes any recommendations under the Cointelpro, Memphis will await Bureau comments regarding the above, as it pertains to the

ME 100-4046

general SSOC income status and its mailing privileges. As previously pointed out, Memphis is in need of additional information before making any comments relative to the income tax status of MICHAEL WAYNE WELCH.

Memphis will remain alert for any additional information relative to the above mentioned matters.

Routing Slip
0-7 (Rev. 9-25)

(Copies to Offices Checked)

TO: SAC,

☐ Albany	☐ Houston	☐ Norfolk	☐ Tampa
☐ Albuquerque	☐ Indianapolis	☐ Oklahoma City	☐ Washington Field
☐ Anchorage	☐ Jackson	☐ Omaha	☐ Quantico
☐ Atlanta	☐ Jacksonville	☐ Philadelphia	
☐ Baltimore	☐ Kansas City	☐ Phoenix	TO LEGAT:
☐ Birmingham	☐ Knoxville	☐ Pittsburgh	☐ Bern
☐ Boston	☐ Las Vegas	☐ Portland	☐ Bonn
☐ Buffalo	☐ Little Rock	☐ Richmond	☐ Buenos Aires
☐ Butte	☐ Los Angeles	☐ Sacramento	☐ Hong Kong
☐ Charlotte	☐ Louisville	☐ St. Louis	☐ London
☐ Chicago	☒ <u>Memphis</u>	☐ Salt Lake City	☐ Manila
☐ Cincinnati	☐ Miami	☐ San Antonio	☐ Mexico, D.F.
☐ Cleveland	☐ Milwaukee	☐ San Diego	☐ Ottawa
☐ Columbia	☐ Minneapolis	☐ San Francisco	☐ Paris
☐ Dallas	☐ Mobile	☐ San Juan	☐ Rome
☐ Denver	☐ Newark	☐ Savannah	☐ Santo Domingo
☐ Detroit	☐ New Haven	☐ Seattle	☐ Tokyo
☐ El Paso	☐ New Orleans	☐ Springfield	
☐ Honolulu	☐ New York City		

Date March 27, 1969

RE: COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT

☐ For information ☐ Retention optional ☐ For appropriate action ☐ Surep, by _____

☐ The enclosed is for your information. If used in a future report, ☐ conceal all sources, ☐ paraphrase contents.

☐ Enclosed are corrected pages from report of SA _____ dated _____

Remarks: **Reurairtel 3/5/69.**

As we are unable to locate the exhibit attached to reairtel, please re-submit a copy to the attention of Room 902 9 & D.

100-4704-13A

SEARCHED INDEXED

SERIALIZED FILED

MAR 28 1969

FBI-MEMPHIS

Olson

*See 14
Serial
mgn*

Enc.

Bufile **100-449698**

Urfile **100-4704**

F B I

Date: 4/3/69

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plain text or code)Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority or Method of Mailing)

TO: SAC, NEW ORLEANS (100-17149)

FROM: SAC, MEMPHIS (100-4704)

SUBJECT: COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT
IS

Re Memphis airtel to Bureau 3/5/69 and Bureau routing slip to Memphis 3/27/69.

For the information of New Orleans, the Bureau by reference routing slip advised unable to locate the exhibits attached in referenced Airtel and requested that copy be submitted to the Attention of Room 902 9 & D.

The above-mentioned enclosure was Vol. 3, #1-2, January-February, 1969, edition of the MDS Newsletter, which is a tabloid type newspaper printed on newsprint stock. Memphis sent to the Bureau by referenced Airtel the only copy of this edition of the newsletter.

In view of above, Memphis requests New Orleans furnish Bureau copy of the MDS Newspaper requested above, Attn: Room 902, 9 & D.

3 New Orleans (1 - 100-COINTELPRO) (RM)
(1 - 100-17149-SSOC) (RM)
(1 - 100-SDS) (RM)
④ Memphis (2 - 100-4704)
(1 - 100-4046-SSOC)
(1 - 100-4000 - SDS)

LJO:cjs
(11)

SEARCHED _____

SERIALIZED map

INDEXED _____

FILED [?]

100-4704-14

Olson

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

DIRECTOR, FBI

4/16/69

SAC, New Orleans (100-17858) (P)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT
IS

Re Memphis airtel to New Orleans, dated 4/3/69.

Enclosed for the Bureau is one (1) copy of the
"MDS Newsletter", volume III, No.s 1 and 2, January - February,
1969 edition, as requested in referenced airtel.

2-Bureau (Enc. 1) (RM)
1-Memphis (100-4704) (Info) (RM)
2-New Orleans
PDF/cam
(5)

100-4704-16

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 2 1969	
FBI - MEMPHIS	

Alson

ME 100-4657
ME 100-4704

organization. This information has been set out in detail in the several reports submitted under the SSOC caption. Information was set out within the body of the individual reports, marked "Finances," and it is noted that information was also contained in report of SA LAWRENCE J. OLSON, SR., at Memphis dated 3/28/69 on Cover Pages F through I.

Information regarding SSOC financial activities has also been included, in somewhat less detail, in the various reports submitted by the Memphis Office under the New Left Movement caption, Memphis file 100-4657.

It is noted that SSOC had made claim as an exempt organization within the meaning of Section 501(c)(3) of the U. S. Internal Revenue Code of 1954, and as a result received the benefits afforded such an organization, including a tax-exempt status both for the SSOC organization itself and the persons who made contributions to the SSOC organization, who were also able to make exemption claims based on their contributions to SSOC. The organization also received the privilege of sending mail at reduced rates as a result of its "non-profit" status. Information regarding the interest of the Post Office Department and Internal Revenue Service (IRS) relative to the SSOC organization was contained in Memphis letter to Bureau 6/27/69 under the SSOC caption.

Memphis received, in addition to the information set out in mentioned letter, the following:

b6
b7C
On 7/22/69 [redacted], IRS, Nashville, Tennessee, advised he had completed the bulk of his investigation relative to the case he has concerning SSOC's income tax status. Based on his investigation, he is recommending to his headquarters that SSOC be denied their claim of a tax exempt organization, as a 501(c)(3), an educational and charitable organization, inasmuch as his investigation revealed the organization does not fit the criteria of that regulation. Briefly it appears that the group may have been involved in political activities in efforts to influence legislation which is prohibited by the statute, according to [redacted].

If IRS should, in fact, decide to deny SSOC's claim as a tax exempt organization, this would not only have an adverse effect on SSOC itself but on the persons

ME 100-4657
ME 100-4704

or organizations making contributions to SSOC, since they could not claim their contributions to SSOC as deductible items, and the contributors would have to make some kind of adjustments on their tax forms as well. The denial would also affect SSOC's mailing privileges and it is likely that SSOC would owe the government a considerable amount of money inasmuch as they had the privilege of mailing material at a reduced rate.

b6
b7C
[] advised that it is his understanding that the SSOC organization has not filed an income tax return for the 1968 tax year, and if this is true, they would be in some difficulty in that regard as well. [] advised previously he was conducting an audit for the 1967 tax year.) [] is not certain as to how far back either IRS or the Postal authorities would proceed in an attempt to recover any money from the SSOC group, but it would be perhaps at least as far back as the 1966, 1967, and 1968 tax years.

[] had no information as to whether or not the government was going to attempt to recover any money from the past contributors to the SSOC organization who had, subsequent to making their contributions, claimed these contributions as deductible items.

As previously reported, one of the factors which made SSOC vulnerable to attack from SDS and which ultimately contributed to the dissolution of the organization was the organization's dependence on liberal foundation money, which SDS considered to be "tainted."

It is noted that the SSOC financial budget increased as the operation of that organization continued, and it was in the vicinity of \$60,000 per year at the time of its dissolution.

It may well be that SDS has in the past been "guilty" of accepting money from similar sources, as SSOC has been criticized.

The Memphis Office is making mention of this previously reported information again inasmuch as there may well be some counterintelligence potential in this regard. It is not known by the Memphis Office just how

ME 100-4657
ME 100-4704

vigorously either the Postal authorities or IRS intends to pursue their efforts to recover any monies owed by the SSOC organization itself during the year audited or attempt to audit the organization's financial activities for the years previous to 1967, or for the 1968 tax year, for which apparently no tax return has yet been filed. Memphis is likewise unaware of what plans have been made to recover taxes on money claimed as deductions by the contributors to the former SSOC organization, that is, if SSOC actually is denied its status as an exempt organization.

Apparently the initial interest of the Post Office Department and IRS regarding the SSOC organization was as a result of some high-level inquiry.

It may well be that if IRS has actually denied SSOC its tax exempt status and if the efforts made to recover money from the SSOC organization or its past contributors are successful, a similar technique might be applied to other movement groups and their contributors. Of course, any success in this regard would be dependent on the vigor with which IRS or the Post Office would pursue these individual situations.

As indicated, information concerning the identity of the various known contributors to the SSOC organization, which includes not only individuals but large foundations, and well-meaning liberal sources, has been set out in reports under the SSOC and New Left Movement captions. No new information has been developed concerning contributions to the now defunct organization.

Additionally, the Memphis Office has previously submitted a letter to the Bureau dated 2/17/69 under the SSOC caption, noting that on the part of the (then) salaried SSOC staff workers there were possible violations of the State and Federal income tax laws. It is noted that SSOC, in addition to paying a salary to these individuals, frequently provided housing and board, and occasionally gave particular individuals additional financial assistance such as paying automobile notes and making "loans." It is noted that it is highly probable that those particular individuals had not made the necessary indications of earnings in this manner in addition to income in the form of salary when filing their various State and/or Federal income tax forms.

ME 100-4657
ME 100-4704

b6
b7C

It is highly possible that a review of the financial activities of other type movement groups might exhibit a similar potential in this regard that may be useful in counterintelligence activities. It is noted that in regard to the SSOC situation, according to [redacted], in regard to individual SSOC staff workers having omitted declaring a portion of their income, even if this was established, the amount of money that would be recovered would not be large enough to justify the IRS making any great effort to recover any such money.

The above information is being submitted to the Bureau for its information in regard to captioned matters and any possible counterintelligence value.

Memphis will continue to remain alert to the financial aspects of the movement activities within the Memphis Division.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
HEADQUARTERS
NAVAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE
27130 TELEGRAPH ROAD
QUANTICO VA 22134-2253

5720 F12-421
SEROOLJF/12U3051

JUL 17 2012

Mr. Trevor Griffey
10600 Manitou Park Blvd, N.E.
Bainbridge, WA 98110-3375

Dear Mr. Griffey:

The processing of your request for information pertaining to "Cointelpro-New Left" which was referred to this office by the Federal Bureau of Investigation along with a three-page document has been completed. Our review of these documents reveals that they contain personal identifiers (such as names and social security numbers) of third parties, the release of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Accordingly, we must partially deny your request and withhold this information pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) provisions 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(7)(F). All releasable information is provided to you at enclosure (1).

As the official responsible for the partial denial of your request, I am advising you of your right to appeal this determination. Your appeal must be postmarked within 60 calendar days from the date of this letter and should be addressed to the Secretary of the Navy's designee: Office of the Judge Advocate General, (Code 14), 1322 Patterson Avenue, S.E., Suite 3000, Washington Navy Yard, D.C. 20374-5066. The envelope and letter should bear the annotation "FOIA Appeal." Please include a copy of your original request with your appeal letter.

There are no assessable fees associated with the processing of your request.

Sincerely,


CARIN M. COZZA
LT, JAGC, USN

Encl:
(1) Documents

Copy to: FBI FOI/PA #1160095-000

U.S. GENERAL ADMINISTRATION		05-06-70	
CODE	CONTROL	STATUS	DATE
0640			
DISTRIBUTION			
H.0044.D/I.06XX.D/F.0640.K//			
MADE AT			
ORIGIN			
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA			
SEX	SOCIAL SECURITY NO.	MILITARY SERVICE NO.	BIRTH
Counter-			
DATE	PLACE	FILE	REMARKS
0	1	1	1
TITLE			
1/COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS - PROPOSED ACTIVITIES/MAY 1970			

1. THE FOLLOWING ANALYSIS WAS FORWARDED TO SECNAV AND OTHERS FROM DIRNIS ON 05-05-70 AND IS QUOTED HERE FOR THE GUIDANCE OF ADDEES. ADDEES ARE ENCOURAGED TO BRING THIS INFORMATION TO THE ATTENTION OF INTERESTED COMMANDS.

"AS A RESULT OF WHAT HAS BEEN DESCRIBED BY ANTI-WAR GROUPS AS A "DANGEROUS ESCALATION OF THE WAR IN INDOCHINA" THE NEW MOBILIZATION COMMITTEE TO END THE WAR IN VIETNAM (NEW MOBE) HAS CALLED FOR AN "EMERGENCY" MASS DEMONSTRATION TO BE HELD AT NOON ON 9 MAY 1970 IN LAFAYETTE PARK WHICH IS LOCATED ACROSS FROM THE WHITE HOUSE IN WASHINGTON D.C. THE PUBLIC PRESS HAS INDICATED THAT THIS DEMONSTRATION WILL INCLUDE CONGRESSMEN, CLERGYMEN AND LABOR REPRESENTATIVES ALONG WITH "THOUSANDS OF ANTI-WAR PROTESTORS." THE STUDENT MOBILIZATION COMMITTEE HAS PUBLICLY ANNOUNCED THAT IT WILL SUPPORT THE ACTIVITIES OF THE NEW MOBE ON 9 MAY. THE VIETNAM PEACE PARADE COMMITTEE (VPPC) IS REPORTEDLY ATTEMPTING TO MAKE TRAVEL ARRANGEMENTS FOR BUS AND POSSIBLY TRAIN TRANSPORTATION FROM THE NEW YORK AREA TO WASHINGTON, D.C. FOR THE 9TH MAY DEMONSTRATIONS. ADDITIONALLY, NEW MOBE IS REPORTEDLY PLANNING LOCAL DEMONSTRATIONS FOR 7 MAY 1970 AT LAFAYETTE PARK AND 8 MAY AT FARRAGUT SQUARE. AND THE OFFICES OF HEALTH, EDUCATIONS AND WELFARE IN WASHINGTON TO DENOUNCE THE EXPANSION OF THE WAR. SINCE MID-APRIL 1970 VARIOUS ANTI-WAR, ANTI-MILITARY ORGANIZATIONS ACROSS THE COUNTRY HAVE PLANNED ACTIVITIES TO "BE AN IMPORTANT SHOW OF GI SOLIDARITY AND STRENGTH AROUND THE WORLD AND OF CIVILIAN SUPPORT OF THE GI MOVEMENT." THE INITIAL EMPHASIS FOR THE ACTIVITIES WAS PROVIDED BY THE NEW MOBE. NO PARTICULAR DEMANDS HAVE BEEN SET FORTH BUT THE PERIOD 10 THROUGH 18 MAY HAS BEEN DESIGNATED AS "NINE DAYS IN MAY". IT ALLEGEDLY WILL BE A PERIOD DURING WHICH A SERIES OF COORDINATED BUT DECENTRALIZED PROTEST ACTIVITIES WILL OCCUR. ON AND OFF BASE DEMONSTRATIONS, CIVILIAN DISTRIBUTION OF GI PAPERS ON BASE, MESS HALL BOYCOTTS, SICK CALL STRIKES, WEARING OF BLACK ARMBANDS BY MILITARY PERSONNEL AND MASS VISITS TO MILITARY INSTALLATIONS AND SHIPS HAVE ALL BEEN SUGGESTED AS POSSIBLE COURSES OF ACTION DURING THE ABOVE PERIOD. PROMOTERS HAVE POINTED OUT THAT ALL OF THESE COURSES OF ACTION HAVE BEEN USED IN VARYING DEGREES OF SUCCESS DURING PREVIOUS ANTI-WAR EFFORTS. THE MAIN ACTIVITIES ARE SCHEDULED FOR 16 MAY 1970 WHICH IS ARMED FORCES DAY. PROMOTERS HAVE ALSO POINTED OUT THAT MOST MILITARY INSTALLATIONS AND NAVY SHIPS HAVE A LIBERAL VISITATION POLICY IN EFFECT ON ARMED FORCES DAY. THEY OBVIOUSLY INTEND TO USE THIS POLICY IN ORDER TO GAIN ACCESS TO

CLASSIFICATION	WARNING
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF THE NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE. CONTENTS MAY BE DISCLOSED ONLY TO PERSONS WHOSE OFFICIAL DUTIES REQUIRE ACCESS HERETO. CONTENTS MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED TO THE PARTY(S) CONCERNED WITHOUT SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION FROM THE NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE.
GEN	1

CODE		CONTROL		STATUS		DATE	
0640							
DISTRIBUTION							
MADE AT				MADE BY			
ORIGIN				SUPPLEMENTAL DATA			
SEX	SOCIAL SECURITY NO.	MILITARY SERVICE NO.	BIRTH	DATE	(GPO)	(PLACE)	

**IN THE/COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS - PROPOSED ANTI-WAR
ACTIVITIES/AMY 1970**

INSTALLATIONS AND SHIPS IN ORDER TO CARRY OUT THEIR ANTI-WAR PROJECTS. MOTHER'S DAY, 10 MAY 1970, HAS BEEN REDESIGNATED "REVOLUTIONARY MOTHER'S DAY" AND A DEMONSTRATION IS SCHEDULED IN NEW YORK DURING WHICH MOTHERS WILL DEMONSTRATE IN SUPPORT OF DESERTERS IN CANADA. A BOSTON ORGANIZATION IDENTIFIED AS THE AD HOC COMMITTEE FOR GI'S REPORTEDLY IS ORGANIZING FOR A RALLY ON 16 MAY. IN NEW YORK A RECORDED TELEPHONE SERVICE KNOWN AS DIAL A DEMONSTRATION HAS ANNOUNCED THAT 16 MAY HAS BEEN SET ASIDE FOR A NATIONAL DAY OF ANTI-WAR DEMONSTRATIONS BY GI'S. THIS TELEPHONE SERVICE IS SPONSORED BY THE VPPC, FORMERLY KNOWN AS THE FIFTH AVENUE VIETNAM PEACE PARADE COMMITTEE. THE BALTIMORE GI'S UNITED HAS DISTRIBUTED LITERATURE CALLING FOR DEMONSTRATIONS ON 16 MAY. ON THE WEST COAST THE UNITED STATES SERVICEMENS FUND (USSF) SUPPORT OUR SOLDIERS (SOS), AND THE MOVEMENT FOR A DEMOCRATIC MILITARY (MDM) HAVE ALL PROPOSED ACTIVITIES DURING THE UPCOMING DESIGNATED PROTEST PERIOD. MDM PLANS TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF "OPEN DOOR POLICIES" ON MILITARY INSTALLATION ON 16 MAY IN ORDER TO ENTER BASES AND "TO LET THE GI'S KNOW THAT THE CIVILIANS ARE BEHIND THEM WHEN THEY OPPOSE THE SYSTEM." AN MDM CARAVAN FROM SAN DIEGO IS TENTATIVELY A SCHEDULED FOR "AN ASSAULT" ON CAMP PENDLETON ON 16 MAY. ON 17 MAY THE MDM HAS SCHEDULED A "MASS DEMONSTRATION WITH A NATIONAL FOCUS ON OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA." THE BERKELEY TRIBE, AN UNDERGROUND, ANTI-ESTABLISHMENT NEWSPAPER, IS PROMOTING A MARCH AND RALLY AT FORT ORD, MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA ON THE 16TH. THE 25 APRIL 1970 EDITION OF THE GUARDIAN, DESCRIBED BY THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES AS A "VIRTUAL" OFFICIAL PROPAGANDA ARM OF SOVIET RUSSIA, "CARRIED A COLUMN ENTITLED THE "GI MOVEMENT NEWS" IN THIS COLUMN ONE OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED ACTIVITIES WERE PUBLICIZED. ADDITIONALLY THIS COLUMN ANNOUNCED THAT A FOUR DAY PAN-CANADA CONFERENCE OF GROUPS SUPPORTING AMERICAN DESERTERS AND DRAFT RESISTERS WOULD BE HELD IN MONTREAL, CANADA DURING THE PERIOD 29 MAY TO 1 JUNE 1970. THE ANNOUNCED PURPOSE OF THE CONFERENCE IS TO ESTABLISH POLITICAL COORDINATION BETWEEN AMERICANS IN EXILE, CANADIAN SUPPORT GROUPS AND THE U.S. ANTI-WAR MOVEMENT. THE ADDRESS OF THE AMERICAN DESERTERS COMMITTEE IN MONTREAL WAS PROVIDED AS THE ORGANIZATION TO CONTACT FOR FURTHER INFORMATION. MAJOR ACTIVITIES APPEAR TO BE TARGETED AGAINST CAMP PENDLETON, FORT ORD AND FORT DIX. ACTIVITIES AT OTHER MILITARY INSTALLATIONS CAN BE EXPECTED ON ARMED FORCES DAY. AT THIS TIME THE SCHEDULED ACTIVITIES DO NOT APPEAR TO HAVE

CLASSIFICATION		WARNING	
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY.		THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF THE NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE. CONTENTS MAY BE DISCLOSED ONLY TO PERSONS WHOSE OFFICIAL DUTIES REQUIRE ACCESS HERETO. CONTENTS MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED TO THE PARTY(S) CONCERNED WITHOUT SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION FROM THE NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE.	
GEN	2		

CODE		CONTROL		STATUS		DATE	
0640							
DISTRIBUTION							
MADE AT				MADE BY			
ORIGIN				SUPPLEMENTAL DATA			
SEX	SOCIAL SECURITY NO.	MILITARY SERVICE NO.	BIRTH	DATE	REPO	PLACE	

IN **TITLE/COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ANALYSIS - PROPOSED ANTI-WAR ACTIVITIES/MAY 1970**

DEVELOPED ANY WIDE-SPREAD SUPPORT BY MILITARY PERSONNEL. HOWEVER, IT IS REASONABLE TO BELIEVE THAT DISSIDENT MILITARY PERSONNEL WILL JOIN CIVILIAN ACTIVISTS IN MANY AREAS DURING THE PERIOD 10 THROUGH 18 MAY 1970, PARTICULARLY ON 16 MAY."

CLASSIFICATION		WARNING	
FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY		THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF THE NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE. CONTENTS MAY BE DISCLOSED ONLY TO PERSONS WHOSE OFFICIAL DUTIES REQUIRE ACCESS HERETO. CONTENTS MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED TO THE PARTY(S) CONCERNED WITHOUT SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION FROM THE NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE.	
GEN	3LAST		
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY		NAVAL INTELLIGENCE - NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	

66
b7C
b7E



Explanation of FOIA/PA Exemptions

Subsections of Title 5, United States Code, Section 552

- (b)(1) (A) specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense or foreign policy and (B) are in fact properly classified to such Executive order;
- (b)(2) related solely to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency;
- (b)(3) specifically exempted from disclosure by statute (other than section 552b of this title), provided that such statute (A) requires that the matters be withheld from the public in such a manner as to leave no discretion on issue, or (B) establishes particular criteria for withholding or refers to particular types of matters to be withheld;
- (b)(4) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential;
- (b)(5) inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency;
- (b)(6) personnel and medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;
- (b)(7) records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such law enforcement records or information (A) could be reasonably be expected to interfere with enforcement proceedings, (B) would deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication, (C) could be reasonably expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, (D) could reasonably be expected to disclose the identity of confidential source, including a State, local, or foreign agency or authority or any private institution which furnished information on a confidential basis, and, in the case of record or information compiled by a criminal law enforcement authority in the course of a criminal investigation, or by an agency conducting a lawful national security intelligence investigation, information furnished by a confidential source, (E) would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions if such disclosure could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law, or (F) could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual;
- (b)(8) contained in or related to examination, operating, or condition reports prepared by, on behalf of, or for the use of an agency responsible for the regulation or supervision of financial institutions; or
- (b)(9) geological and geophysical information and data, including maps, concerning wells.

Subsections of Title 15, United States Code, Section 552a

- (d)(5) information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action proceeding;
- (j)(2) material reporting investigative efforts pertaining to the enforcement of criminal law including efforts to prevent, control, or reduce crime or apprehend criminals;
- (k)(1) information which is currently and properly classified pursuant to an Executive order in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy, for example, information involving intelligence sources or methods;
- (k)(2) investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes, other than criminal, which did not result in loss of a right, benefit or privilege under Federal programs, or which would identify a source who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;
- (k)(3) material maintained in connection with providing protective services to the President of the United States or any other individual pursuant to the authority of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3056;
- (k)(4) required by statute to be maintained and used solely as statistical records;
- (k)(5) investigatory material compiled solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for Federal civilian employment or for access to classified information, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished information pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence;
- (k)(6) testing or examination material used to determine individual qualifications for appointment or promotion in Federal Government service the release of which would compromise the testing or examination process;
- (k)(7) material used to determine potential for promotion in the armed services, the disclosure of which would reveal the identity of the person who furnished the material pursuant to a promise that his/her identity would be held in confidence.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : ALL AGENTS

DATE: 9/29/70

FROM : SAC (100-4704)

SUBJECT: COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM - NEW LEFT;
IS

BUFILE 100-449698

ReBulet to Albany, 5/10/68.

All Agents are requested to be alert for any possible counterintelligence action which could be taken against New Left type groups within the Memphis Division in accordance with instructions outlined in reBulet. It is noted that any specific recommendations in this regard should be submitted to the Bureau by separate and individual communications before initiating any such counterintelligence activity.

100-4704
66 - 31

LJO:mjh



5010-108

100-4704-29

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
SEP 29 1970	
FBI - MEMPH	

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

1/15/75

AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698)

FROM: SAC, MEMPHIS (100-4704) (C)

SUBJECT: NEW LEFT COUNTER
INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM
BUDED 1/24/75

Re Bureau airtel to Albany, 12/24/74.

A review of files pertaining to this matter has been conducted by the Memphis Office and all documents which are classifiable have been appropriately classified.

2-Bureau
1-Memphis
BFJ:bc
(3)

137

*Close
get
already closed*

100-4704-34

mg

mg

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

No Duplication Fees are charged for Deleted Page Information Sheet(s).

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 58

Page 5 ~ Duplicate
Page 6 ~ Duplicate
Page 7 ~ Duplicate
Page 8 ~ Duplicate
Page 9 ~ Duplicate
Page 10 ~ Duplicate
Page 11 ~ Duplicate
Page 12 ~ Duplicate
Page 13 ~ Duplicate
Page 14 ~ Duplicate
Page 15 ~ Duplicate
Page 16 ~ Duplicate
Page 17 ~ Duplicate
Page 18 ~ Duplicate
Page 19 ~ Duplicate
Page 20 ~ Duplicate
Page 21 ~ Duplicate
Page 22 ~ Duplicate
Page 23 ~ Duplicate
Page 24 ~ Duplicate
Page 25 ~ Duplicate
Page 26 ~ Duplicate
Page 27 ~ Duplicate
Page 31 ~ Duplicate
Page 32 ~ Duplicate
Page 33 ~ Duplicate
Page 34 ~ Duplicate
Page 35 ~ Duplicate
Page 43 ~ Duplicate
Page 45 ~ Duplicate
Page 46 ~ Duplicate
Page 47 ~ Duplicate
Page 48 ~ Duplicate
Page 49 ~ Duplicate
Page 50 ~ Duplicate
Page 51 ~ Duplicate
Page 52 ~ Duplicate
Page 57 ~ Duplicate
Page 58 ~ Duplicate
Page 59 ~ Duplicate
Page 60 ~ Duplicate
Page 61 ~ Duplicate
Page 62 ~ Duplicate
Page 63 ~ Duplicate

Page 64 ~ Duplicate
Page 65 ~ Referral/Direct
Page 66 ~ Referral/Direct
Page 67 ~ Referral/Direct
Page 68 ~ Duplicate
Page 69 ~ Duplicate
Page 71 ~ Duplicate
Page 72 ~ Duplicate
Page 73 ~ Duplicate
Page 74 ~ Duplicate
Page 75 ~ Duplicate
Page 76 ~ Duplicate
Page 77 ~ Duplicate
Page 78 ~ Duplicate